

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,791	09/08/2003	Kia Silverbrook	BAL52US	8951	
24011 SILVERBROO	7590 08/20/200 OK RESEARCH PTY I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING STREET			MENBERU, BENIYAM		
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2000	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@silverbrookresearch.com patentdept@silverbrookresearch.com uscorro@silverbrookresearch.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	_
	10/656,791	SILVERBROOK, KIA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625	

	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
I. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	,	(-,						
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or	ler form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. \(\bar{\text{Z}}\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
0. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Beniyam Menberu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the limitations "a housing defining a slot for receiving a printed instruction card having printed thereon an array of dots representing a programming script", "a linear image sensor for scanning the printed instruction card and converting the array of dots into a data signar," an input buffer connected to both the area image sensor interface and the linear image sensor interface, the input buffer receiving the pixel data and the data signal, the input buffer being further connected to the VLIW processor to effect communication of the pixel data and the data signal thereto", and "the one-chip microcontroller decodes the data signal into the programming script and executes the programming script represented by the array of dots on the pixel data" in claim 1 and the limitation "the array of dots is a two-dimensional array" in claim 7, which raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.